



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,616	02/11/2002	Timothy A. Lewis	01-1002	5952
75	90 07/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Loren H. McR	loss CHNOLOGIES LTD		CHAI, LC	ONGBIT
411 East Plume			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA	95134		2131	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OIPENAP JUL 2 0 2005

	/	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/073,616	LEWIS, TIMOTHY A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Longbit Chai	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2002 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. No claim for priority has been made in this application.

The effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims in this application is 2/11/2002.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Element 43 of Figure 3 should be "BIOS COPIES ITSELF INTO SHADOW RAM" instead of "BIOS COPIES ITSELF INTO DRAM" according to paragraph [0042] in specification.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Art Unit: 2131

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander (Patent Number: 6188602), in view of Bealkowski (Patent Number: 6878256).

As per claim 1, 7 and 13, Alexander teaches a system having secure system firmware, comprising:

a central processing unit (CPU) (Alexander: Figure 1 Element 102);

sets LOCK bits associated with the registers of the flash memory that holds the BIOS, boots a computer operating system, monitors attempted writes to locked registers of the flash memory (Alexander: Column 3 Line 37 – 61), and if a write operation to a locked register is detected, generates an interrupt that indicates an attempt to tamper

Art Unit: 2131

with the system firmware (Alexander: Column 5 Line 21 – 25 and Column 5 line 53 – 67);

Alexander does not disclose expressly that the BIOS image in the flash memory incorporated with BIOS RD/WR access protection by using LOCK register and SMI interrupt could be in shadow RAM instead.

However, Bealkowski teaches:

a dynamic random access memory (DRAM) coupled to the CPU that comprises a shadow random access memory (RAM) including one or more registers whose attributes are separately configurable (Bealkowski, Column 13 Line 12 – 15); and

system firmware that when the system is reset, initializes the DRAM and the shadow RAM (Bealkowski, Column 13 Line 12 – 15), copies itself into the shadow RAM (Bealkowski, Column 13 Line 63 – 66);

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bealkowski within the system of Alexander because (a) Alexander teaches a mechanism to provide RD/WR access protection to BIOS image in the flash memory by using LOCK register and SMI interrupt, and (b) Bealkowski teaches providing a significant performance improvement by copying the BIOS image into the shadow RAM, which has normally faster access speed (Bealkowski: see for example, Column 13 Line 32 – 37).

Therefore, Alexander in view of Bealkowski teaches:

sets LOCK bits associated with the registers of the shadow RAM, boots a computer operating system, monitors attempted writes to locked registers of the shadow

Art Unit: 2131

RAM, and if a write operation to a locked register is detected, generates an interrupt that indicates an attempt to tamper with the system firmware.

As per claim 2, 8 and 14, Alexander as modified teaches the interrupt that is generated is selected from a group consisting of a system management interrupt (SMI), a non-maskable interrupt (NMI) and a general-purpose interrupt (Alexander: Column 5 Line 58 – 61).

As per claim 3, 9 and 15, Alexander as modified teaches the system firmware enables generation of the interrupt before initiating operating system code and after all modifications to the shadow RAM are complete (Alexander: Column 1 Line 55 – 56: Alexander teaches lock critical portion that holds BIOS image to prevent it from being corrupted "during initialization" – i.e. enables generation of the interrupt before initiating operating system code and after all modifications to the shadow RAM are complete – i.e. the interrupt can be generated successfully during the initialization).

As per claim 4, 10 and 16, Alexander as modified teaches the system firmware begins execution when the interrupt is generated and performs a desired behavior (Alexander: Column 5 Line 21 – 25 and Column 5 Line 58 – 67).

As per claim 5, 11 and 17, Alexander as modified teaches the desired behavior includes an security alert, remote administrator signaling, logging of an event, or

Art Unit: 2131

ignoring of the event and resuming operation (Alexander: Column 5 Line 21 - 25 and Column 5 Line 58 - 67 and Column 3 Line 56 - 57).

As per claim 6, 12 and 18-20, Alexander as modified teaches the system firmware is selectively configured to programmatically enable and disable write access to a selected shadow RAM register (Alexander: Column 3 Line 40-41), programmatically enable and disable read access to a selected shadow RAM register (Alexander: Column 3 Line 40-41), and programmatically enable and disable cacheability of a shadow RAM register (Alexander: Column 3 Line 40-41: the combination RD/WR disable of LOCK register as taught by Alexander is equivalent to disable cacheability of a shadow RAM register).

Art Unit: 2131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Longbit Chai whose telephone number is 571-272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Longbit Chai Examiner Art Unit 2131

JBC LBC

> . AYAZ SHEIKH Supetivisor Patent Examiner Teogressoy Ceister 2100

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

				O.O. TATENT BOODINETTO	
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,188,602	02-2001	Alexander et al.	365/185.04
	В	US-5,878,256	03-1999	Bealkowski et al.	713/2
	С	US-			
	D	US-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	i	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	NON-I ATENT BOOMENTO						
Γ	*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)				
		U					
		V					
		w					
		X					

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

NEW CENTRAL FAX NUMBER

Effective July 15, 2005

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005. After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

P.O. BOX 1450

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Organization



